

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,115	10/11/2000	Charu C. Aggarwal	YOR920000429US1 4940		
7590 07/26/2006			EXAMINER		
William E. Lewis			STARKS, WILBERT L		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Locust Valley, NY 11560			2129		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/686,115	AGGARWAL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.	2129		

Defens the Fillman of an Americal Daire				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.	2129		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since	
AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).	
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu</li> </ol>			nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	15	
· · ·	Silve	481.5271	ES JY.	
		Wilbert L. Starks, C Primary Examiner	lr.	